IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78411 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011109802026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-707 Year-2026 Thana- SHASTRINAGAR District- Patna

==========

Sudhanshu Kumar Singh Son of Surendra Kumar Singh @ Surendra Singh Resident of Babu Bazar, Ara, Near Chiragupta Mandir, P.S.- Ara Town, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar ... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Shashtri Nagar P.S. Case No. 707 of 2026 for the offence under sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and section 27 of the Arms Act lodged on 14.08.2026 by the informant, Rajesh Kumar Singh.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant whose father owns a medical shop near Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Science, Patna (henceforth for short 'the IGIMS') alleged that antisocial elements used to consume *ganja* and liquor outside his shop and when this was objected, they resorted to abuse/assault. Allegation against this petitioner is that he opened fire, though it did not hit informant's father. They left the place but not before threatening them of dire consequences in couple of days. This led to the FIR.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is also in a medical business and that prompted the informant to lodge the FIR. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP on the other hand has taken this Court to the learned Sessions Judge order to show that the Police during the investigation has recorded the statement of witnesses who have supported the prosecution story and further, the used cartridge has been recovered/seized from the place of offence.

6. Taking into account the submissions of the parties as also the role the petitioner played and the allegation that there is consumption of liquor/*ganja* outside the prestigious medical institution of the State and objection to it resulted into the present occurrence, no relief can be extended.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

8. If, however, the petitioner surrenders within four weeks, the concerned Court shall take up the matter and dispose it of preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

ankita/-

U		T	

WEB COPY