IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77681 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011108302026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-148 Year-2026 Thana- PANDAUL District- Madhubani

==========

1. Sanjula Devi @ Sanjul Devi @Sanju Devi S/o Mandan mahto Resident of Village - Sarisabpahi, Police Station - Pandaul, District - Madhubani.
2. Dhyani Mahto S/o Laxman Mahto Resident of Village - Sarisabpahi, Police Station - Pandaul, District - Madhubani.
3. Kajal Devi @ Kajal Kumari D/o Dhyani Mahto Resident of Village - Sarisabpahi, Police Station - Pandaul, District - Madhubani.
4. Sunita Devi @ Sunit Devi W/o Dhyani Mahto Resident of Village - Sarisabpahi, Police Station - Pandaul, District - Madhubani.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kaushal Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satyendra Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 18-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Pandaul P.S. Case no. 148 of 2026 instituted for the offence under Sections 103 (1), 80 (2) and 3 (5) of the BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that one Gudia Devi (deceased) was married to Prithivi Mahto on 26.02.2026. It is alleged that she was being subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. It is further

WEB COPY

alleged that all the in-laws have killed her by pressing her neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. It is further submitted that husband of the deceased has already in custody. The main thrust of allegation is against the husband of the deceased. It is further submitted that the petitioners are mother-in-law, father-in-law and sister-in-law of the deceased and have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Pandaul P.S. Case no. 148 of 2026 , they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhubani, subject to the conditions as laid down

WEB COPY

under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	

WEB COPY