

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77871 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2024 Thana- SINDHIYA District- Samastipur

1. Rohit Sah S/o Upendra Sah Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
2. Rajkumar @ Raj Kumar Sahu S/o Mahendra Sah Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
3. Saroj Sah S/o Nage Sah @ Nageshwar Sah Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
4. Govind Sah @ Govind Kumar S/o Nageshwar Sah Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
5. Nageshwar Sah @ Nageshwar Sahu @ Nago Sahu S/o Rameshwar Sah @ Rameshwar Sahu Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
6. Kaushalya Devi W/o Hira Sah Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
7. Sobha Devi W/o Saroj Sah Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
8. Meera Devi W/o Raj Kumar Sah @ Rajkumar Sah Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur
9. Heera Sah @ Heera Sahu S/o Mahendra Sah @ Mahendra Sahu Resident of - Ward No. 6, Village - Hardiya, P.S - Singhiya, District -Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shashank Shekhar Kunwar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case



registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 326B, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, on 14.05.2024 at about 8:40 AM, all these F.I.R. named accused-petitioners, assaulted informant's mother and brother and threw acid on informant and others as a result of which they sustained acid burn injuries.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. As a matter of fact, the present case is counter-blast of Singhiya P.S. Case No. 95 of 2024 which was lodged, earlier in point of time, by Petitioner No. 1 against informant and others and in retaliation, this false and concocted case has been lodged. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they abused and assaulted informant and his family members and also threw acid on them.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and gravity of offence, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the



petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

