IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76458 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011105972026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-364 Year-2026 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

==========

1. Rajendra Paswan, aged about 78 yrs, Male, Son of Late Dasai Paswan,
2. Ghana Devi aged about 61 yrs Female, Wife of Rajendra Paswan
3. Sundari Devi @ Sundari Paswan, aged about 36 yrs Female, Wife of Sanoj Paswan

All are Resident of village - Bedauli, P.S.- Lalganj, District - Vaishali

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Bela Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Asha Devi, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard Mrs. Bela Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mrs. Asha Devi, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 364 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioners, who are father, mother and sister-in-law of the informant respectively, had assaulted the informant and his wife causing injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

WEB COPY

petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. Both the parties were involved in dispute with respect to distribution of equal share of family property, due to which, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury to the informant and his wife, without intention. Injuries sustained by the informant side, as per the impugned order, are found to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent. Learned counsel, however, admits that petitioner no. 1, who is the father of the informant, is bound to distribute equal share of family property to the informant. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR, both the parties were involved in dispute with respect to distribution of equal share of family property, due to which, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury to the informant and his wife, without intention. Injuries

WEB COPY

sustained by the informant side, as per the impugned order, are found to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioners, who are father, mother and sister-in-law of the informant respectively, have *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 364 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. **The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.**

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	

WEB COPY