

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76436 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2025 Thana- Singhaul District- Begusarai

Guria Devi Wife of Ajay Ram @ Ajay Mochi Resident of village rachiyahi
Dhobi Tola, P.S.- Singhaul, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Randhir Kumar No 1, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Prasoon Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2026 Heard Mr. Randhir Kumar No 1, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Prasoon Kumar, learned counsel for the Informant as well as Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 30.06.2024 in connection with Singhaul P.S. Case No. 112 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 28.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 351(3), 103(1), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant alleged that the petitioner along with other co-accused persons killed his son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has clean antecedent and she has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. As per allegation in the FIR the petitioner had called the son of the informant and later on, son of the informant was killed by the petitioner and other co-accused persons. Although the petitioner is named in the FIR but it has come during investigation, which is recorded in paragraph-31, 32 and 59 of the case diary that the petitioner had only called the informant's son and he was killed by other accused persons and police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet. The petitioner is in custody since 30.06.2025.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has confessed her guilt before the police and stated that she had called the son of the informant and other co-accused persons killed the son of the informant.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Begusarai in connection with Singhaul P.S. Case No. 112 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

