IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76881 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011105112026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2026 Thana- LAUKAHA District- Madhubani

==========

Rambabu Yadav Son of Late Soman Yadav Resident of Village - Bauraha, Police Station - Laukaha, District - Madhubani (Bihar).

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Shilpi Keshri, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Priya Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Dhyaan Chand Thakur, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Swapnil, Adv.
Mr. Dr. Anurag Krishan Tiwary, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 140 of 2026 lodged on 10.06.2026, for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2) & 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, FIR has been lodged against seven named accused persons including the present petitioner. The allegation in the FIR is that all the accused

WEB COPY

persons in connivance with each other have attacked the brother in law of the informant. Upon interference, the accused persons have assaulted the informant's son and subsequently, they have assaulted the informant also. The specific allegation against the petitioner is that he has tried to outrage the modesty of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 27.07.2026, having clean antecedent. Counsel submits that the petitioner is an old person aged about 62 years and there is no specific allegation of assault, save and except, he has tried to outrage the modesty of the informant. He further submits that the petitioner's name has been inserted in this case only due to dirty village politics. Counsel also submits that for the same date and place of occurrence, there are case and counter case filed from both the sides.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner but fairly submits that for the same date and place of occurrence, there are case and counter case filed from both the sides.

6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of

WEB COPY

the case considering that petitioner is aged about 62 years and he is in judicial custody since 27.07.2026, let the above-named petitioner be granted bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani, in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 140 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 480(3) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY