IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76570 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011105002026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2025 Thana- BIRAUL District- Darbhanga

==========

1. Vishnudeo Paswan S/o Dinkar Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
2. Murari Paswan S/o Phusi Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
3. Shivji Sahu S/o Late Sevak Sahu R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
4. Rajgir Paswan S/o Debu Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
5. Raja Paswan S/o Soman Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
6. Day Munni Devi W/o Soman Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
7. Soman Paswan S/o Rati Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
8. Indu Devi W/o Lakhan Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga
9. Mannu Paswan S/o Phusi Paswan R/o Village - Deokuli Dham, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Girish Chandra Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),

WEB COPY

115(2), 118(1), 110, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner No. 8 is a woman and the informant alleges that Radheshyam assaulted by knife causing injury below on left eye. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioners, it is also submitted that date of occurrence is 21.07.2025 and the FIR came to be instituted on 25.07.2025 i.e. after a delay of more than four days which also casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution, it is asserted and submitted that petitioners are not related to Radheshyam rather in the panchayati which was being convened and altercation had taken place and thereafter, the instant FIR came to be instituted. It is also submitted that Radheshyam is not a petitioner in the instant anticipatory bail application.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks

WEB COPY

from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Biraul P.S. Case No. 277 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

6. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY