IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79204 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011104812026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2026 Thana- PALANWA District- East Champaran

==========

Haidar Khan @ Haidar Ali Khan S/o Atiullah Khan Resident of Village - Chhota Pakhanahiya, P.S. - Palanwa, District - East Champaran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and learned Advocate for the Informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Palanwa P.S. Case No.134 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118, 117(2), 109(1), 333, 303(2), 352 & 351(2) of the BNS.

3. On the fateful day, all the FIR-named accused persons, including the petitioner, variously armed, forcibly entered the informant's house and brutally assaulted the informant and others. Accused persons are further alleged to have vandalized household articles and taken away several valuables.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the

WEB COPY

specific allegation against the petitioner is that of assaulting Shakeel Khan, whose injury has been opined to be simple in nature. It is further submitted that the parties are on inimical terms, giving rise to the institution of the present case as well as a counter-case. There is, in fact, a counter-version of the present occurrence. Learned Advocate further contends that the petitioner's prayer for bail has been opposed solely on account of his past criminal antecedent, as disclosed in paragraph No.3 of the bail application.

5. Learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the State and the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the complicity of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence is evident from the injury sustained by injured Shakeel Khan, notwithstanding the fact that the same has been opined to be simple in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner is carrying seven criminal antecedents and, in view of the same, does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the specific allegation regarding the petitioner's participation in the alleged occurrence, coupled with his involvement in

WEB COPY

seven criminal cases, as disclosed by the respective parties, this Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the same stands dismissed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Utkarsh.k/-

U		T	

WEB COPY