

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76005 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-274 Year-2024 Thana- MANER District- Patna

Murari Rai @ Krishan Murari Singh S/o Late Chinta Rai Resident of Village-
Brahamchari Pokhara, P.S.- Maner, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Madhuri Lata, APP

For the Informant : Mr. Suraj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

6 08-05-2026 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned
Counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Maner P.S. Case No. 274 of 2024, lodged on 29.04.2024, under
Sections 302/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section
27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

3. Earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected
by this Court vide order dated 01.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.
68443 of 2024.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the
the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel
for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since
02.05.2024. He submits that affidavit has been directed to be filed



showing that petitioner is not absconding in any other case. He submits that in one case he has filed certificate stating that file is not available, due to which he could not obtain copy but in other cases he submits that from the order sheet it is clear that he is not absconding.

5. Counsel for the informant submits that from the supplementary counter-affidavit and the order attached, it transpires that petitioner has not shown his appearance in those cases in this regard.

6. In response thereof Counsel for the petitioner submits that he was in custody in one case, therefore, his appearance could not be made in any other case. As such, he deserves bail.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner was involved in commission of murder of the father of the informant with allegation that the father of the informant was witness in another case and to stop him from adducing evidence they have killed the father of the informant, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby refused.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

