IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77300 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011102142026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-422 Year-2026 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

==========

1. Jai Pakash Pandit S/o Rajendra Pandit @ Rajesh Pandit R/o Village - Chakmahila, Ward No.21, P.S - Sitamarhi, District -Sitamarhi
2. Govind Kumar S/o Jai Prakash Pandit R/o Village - Chakmahila, Ward No.21, P.S - Sitamarhi, District -Sitamarhi
3. Gautam Kumar S/o Jai Prakash Pandit R/o Village - Chakmahila, Ward No.21, P.S - Sitamarhi, District -Sitamarhi

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajan Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Md. Mobassar Ali, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and learned Advocate for the Informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No.422 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 117(1), 118(1), 351(2), 351(3), 352 & 3(5) of the BNS.

3. The petitioners are alleged to have assaulted the informant with sticks and a knife with the intention of causing his death, as a result whereof the informant sustained an injury on his nose and a deep injury to his head.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners, referring to the FIR, contended that the parties are neighbors and that, on account of a trivial issue, they entered into a brawl, resulting in some unfortunate injuries. It is further contended that the allegations, even if taken at their face value, do not find support from the injury report, inasmuch as no injury has been found either on the scalp or on the nose of the informant. Rather, the injury is stated to have been found on the neck, though the same has been opined to be grievous in nature. It is lastly contended that, be that as it may, the petitioners are carrying fair antecedents and undertake to fully cooperate in the proceedings of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State and the informant vehemently opposed the bail application and submit that all the petitioners have actively participated and brutally assaulted the informant, due to which he sustained grievous injury, and as such, the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the respective parties, and considering the omnibus nature of allegation, as well as the injury report and the fair antecedent of the petitioners, let the above named petitioners, be

WEB COPY

released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) **each** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Sitamarhi in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No.422 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Utkarsh.k/-

U		T	

WEB COPY