

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76515 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-164 Year-2025 Thana- PIRPAINTI District- Bhagalpur

Sachin Kumar S/o Prayag Yadav R/o village- Dulduliya, Ward No. 05, P.S.-
Pirpainty, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Diwakar Upadhyaya, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 05-02-2026 Heard Mr. Diwakar Upadhyaya, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with S.T. No. 796 of 2025 arising out of Pirpainty P.S. Case No.
164 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section
311 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that certain
miscreants have taken away the bike and mobile of the
informant and they have also looted another biker. The FIR was
lodged against the unknown miscreants.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of this petitioner has surfaced during the course of investigation on the confessional statement of Abhishek, Ashish and Md. Sonu. He also submits that as per the case of the prosecution, a mobile was recovered from the possession of this petitioner. From perusal of the seizure list of this petitioner, it will transpire that the said mobile is not looted one. The mobile belongs to the petitioner. He also submits that co-accused, namely Vikash Kumar Yadav, having similar nature of allegation has been granted bail by this court vide order dated 19.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 76338 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 28.04.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District
& Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Bhagalpur in connection
with S.T. No. 796 of 2025 arising out of Pirpainty P.S. Case No.
164 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

