IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77306 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011101102026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2026 Thana- Ghogha District- Bhagalpur

==========

Panchanand Yadav Son of Late Kameshwar Yadav Resident of village - Chhoti Olpura, Police Station - Ghogha, District - Bhagalpur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md.Najmul Hodda, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Ghogha P.S. Case No. 77 of 2026, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S.

3. The petitioner is said to have assaulted one Kartik Yadav with a sword, due to which he sustained serious injuries. There is further allegation that the petitioner extended threats to the informant to kidnap his grandson.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner, referring to the materials available on record, primarily contended that the petitioner and the informant are none else but full brothers and

WEB COPY

that, owing to some previous dispute, they entered into a scuffle, resulting in certain unfortunate injuries. Moreover, there is a counter-version of the present case, registered as Ghogha P.S. Case No. 76 of 2026, which was instituted prior to the present case. It is further contended that the injury specifically attributed to the petitioner, upon examination, has been found to be simple in nature. The petitioner bears fair antecedents and undertakes that he will fully co-operate in the proceeding of the Court. Other co-accused persons facing similar allegations have already been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail by different Benches of this Court in Criminal Miscellaneous Nos. 69316 of 2026 and 69615 of 2026, copies of the respective orders whereof have been placed on record.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application.

6. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the genesis of the occurrence, coupled with the fact that the petitioner and the informant are full brothers, the nature of the injury attributed to the petitioner, which has been opined to be simple, as well as the petitioner's fair antecedents, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his

WEB COPY

arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-II, Bhagalpur in connection with Ghogha P.S. Case No. 77 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	

WEB COPY