

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4350 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-310 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjeet Kumar Sah S/O Ashok Sah @ Ashok Gupta
Resident of Mohalla- Takiya P.S. Sasaram (T), District- Rohtas

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mala Devi W/O Paramhansh Paswan R/O Vill.- Karbandi, P.S.- Natwar,
Dist.- Rohtas

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Shashi Kant
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Binay Krishna

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

4 06-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned Spl.
P.P., Mr.Binay Krishna, appearing for the State. .

2. This appeal is an Appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against the rejection of prayer for bail vide order dated 23.08.2025, passed by learned Special Judge SC/ST (POA) 1989 Act, Sasaram, Rohtas, in connection with Sasaram Town P.S. Case No.310 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 74, 324(4), 329(4), 352, 351(2) 3(5) BNS and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(1)(w) SC/ST (POA) 1989 Act Sasaram, Rohtas.

3. The learned Spl. P.P. submits that in compliance



of the order dated 11.11.2025, the Superintendent of Police was informed about the pendency of the instant Appeal and was requested to inform the informant through the concerned police station about pendency of the instant Appeal.

4. In view of the submission made by learned A.P.P, the notice is due to have been validly served.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that the appellant is a person with clean antecedent and informant alleges that appellant of 24.04.2025 came to her house at 5 P.M. and abused and assaulted her and snatched her Mangalsutra, Mobile and Bluetooth. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant further submits that the appellant has been falsely implicated by the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that the allegation of abuse and assault is general and omnibus in nature, it is further submitted that the F.I.R. does not even remotely suggest the reason for the occurrence, it is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged to be true without admitting then entire occurrence took place at the house of the informant and thus was not in public view, it is also submitted that this perhaps explain why informant despite receiving notice chooses not to appear in the Court.



6. The learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail.

7. Considering the submission, let the appellant, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.5,000/- (Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Sasaram Town P.S. Case No.310 of 2025.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

