IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76086 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011098772026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2025 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Aurangabad

==========

Vikram Kumar S/O Devendra Chauhan R/V- Jahanpur, Nardiganj, Nawada, Bihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Aurangabad Cyber P.S. Case No. 35 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 303(2) of BNS and Section 66(C), 66(D) of I.T. Act, 2000.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that on 29.11.2024 the complainant, Ravindra Kumar Singh, received a phone call from an individual named Ajay Kumar informing him that a Pradhan Mantri MUDRA Loan of Rs. 5,00,000/- had been approved in his name under the pretext of collecting processing fee required to release the loan amount the caller frequently induced the complainant into paying Rs. 43,600/- in multiple installments via a PNP ATM. Upon realizing he had

WEB COPY

been defrauded the complainant lodged an online complaint (acknowledgement No. 20512240044130) and reported the Cyber fraud to helpline 1930, resulting in Rs. 4,062 of the defrauded money being put on hold/frozen in the relevant account consequently a criminal case (Aurangabad Cyber P.S. Case No. 35 of 2025) was registered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no direct allegation against petitioner regarding the fraudulent promise of a Pradhan mantra MUDRA loan or the collection of Rs. 43,600/- and the petitioner had no direct interaction, phone calls, or communication with the complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner was completely unaware that any fraudulent funds were routed through his bank account by Cyber Criminal using layered transfers.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation levelled in the FIR, it appears that the petitioner is a part of an organized Gang,

WEB COPY

which is indulging in cyber crimes, otherwise the petitioner would not have been beneficiary of twenty thousand rupees, which has come in his account.

7. Taking note of the seriousness of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	

WEB COPY