IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75498 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011097432026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-364 Year-2025 Thana- KARAKAT District- Rohtas

==========

Ritesh Kumar @ Baghwa S/o Late Yogendra Singh R/o Village - Mangra, P.S. - Karakat, Dist. - Rohtas.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Sristi Kumari, Advocate
Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Karakat P.S. Case No. 364 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Section 109(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 of the Arms Act and later on Section 27 of the Arms Act was added.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on 16.07.2025, the police, acting on information regarding a shooting incident at the house of Bagwagesh Tiwari in Village Mangra, reached the spot and learnt that a dispute over money had allegedly led to the petitioner shooting Rohit Kumar with a

WEB COPY

country-made firearm after Manjesh Kumar handed it to him and directed him to fire. It is further alleged that the police initiated an investigation and arrested the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case as well as charge has also been framed against the petitioner. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged against him. There is no any eye witness to the alleged occurrence. The petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2025 and has got five criminal antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court

WEB COPY

below/concerned Court in connection with Karakat P.S. Case No. 364 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) The petitioner shall not commit any offence punishable under Chapter XVII (Offences Against Property) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 in future.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY