

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74408 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2023 Thana- KOCHAS District- Rohtas

Rintu Ram @ Pintu Ram S/o- Dinanath Ram Resident of Village- Kuchila
PS- Kochas District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Siddharth Harsh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6 27-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner seeking bail
in connection with Kochas P.S. Case No. 206 of 2023 registered
for the offence under Sections 304/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 11.07.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 34110 of 2024 which
reads as follows:-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Kochas P.S. Case No. 206 of 2023 dated 14.07.2023
registered for the offence punishable under Sections
304/34 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, on
11.07.2023 at about 3:00 P.M., the informant' daughter
was feeding the cow in the Goshala after coming from
her school. She kept her dupatta on the cot, in the
meantime, the petitioner's minor grand-son, Kariyawa



aged about 07 years, took her dupatta and started fleeing away. She chased him for obtaining her dupatta then she got Kariyawa's sister seated in a field. On the said matter, the grand father of Kariyawa, Sri Niwas Paswan started to abuse her and on instigation the petitioner Rintu Ram assaulted on her neck with brick. Thereafter, she came back to her home and started weeping and narrated whole story to the informant. When the informant went to the house of the petitioner and the co-accused for asking about the same, they assaulted her too. The informant along with her daughter came to her home. In the meantime, the informant's daughter fell down on the cot and she died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is no intention of the petitioner to cause death to the petitioner. There is no eye witness to the alleged occurrence and only on the basis of suspicion, the F.I.R. has been lodged by the informant who is the mother of the deceased. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition. He is in custody in this case since 10.10.2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation of assaulting on the neck of the deceased with brick is against the petitioner. The P.M. report indicates that the deceased sustained antemortem injury. The cause of death is due to traumatic shock caused by hard and solid object with thrusting force.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner, I'm not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the application stands rejected.

8. Further, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

4. At the very outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that, in the trial, almost all the



witnesses have already been examined and the trial is on the verge of conclusion.

5. In view of the above, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application for regular bail stands rejected.

6. It is expected that the trial shall not be unnecessarily delayed by the prosecution, as almost all the witnesses have already been examined.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

