

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75852 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2025 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

Manmohan Kumar @ Mohan Yadav S/o- Ramjanam Yadav @ Ramjanam Singh R/o Vill- Jakhaura Tole Sajeevan Sampati Bigha PS-Haspura Dist- Aurangabad Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ramesh Yadav @ Ramesh Singh S/o- Kesho Singh @ Keshav Yadav R/o Vill- Jakhaura Tole Sajeevan Sampati Bigha PS-Haspura Dist- Aurangabad Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Alok Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s :	Dr. Indihar Kumari
	Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Upadhyaya
	Ms. Shivalika Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 23-07-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned APP for the State appearing through Virtual Mode, and the learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2.

2. This is an application filed on behalf of the petitioner/informant seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to Opposite Party No. 2 by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-IV, Aurangabad, *vide* order dated 03.09.2025 passed in A.B.P. No. 1648 of 2025, arising out of Haspura P.S. Case No. 78 of 2025 dated 18.03.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after being granted the privilege of bail, Opposite Party No. 2 has



been threatening the petitioner as well as other witnesses and is misusing the liberty granted by the learned court below.

4. Having considered the submissions of the parties and the materials available on record, this Court finds that no supervening circumstance has been brought on record to show that Opposite Party No. 2 has misused the privilege of bail or violated any of the conditions imposed by the learned court below. No material has been placed before this Court to justify interference with the order granting bail. Therefore, this Court finds no sufficient ground to interfere with the impugned order.

5. Accordingly, the present application is dismissed.

6. However, this Court grants liberty to the petitioner to submit an appropriate representation, if not already submitted, before the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad, with regard to the alleged threats and apprehension expressed by the petitioner.

7. In the event such representation is filed or pending, the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad shall look into the matter himself and shall take appropriate action in accordance with law, if any threat, intimidation or attempt to influence the petitioner or other witnesses is found.

8. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this



application is disposed of.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad through FAX or e-mail for immediate compliance.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

