

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76850 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-544 Year-2025 Thana- GARKHA District- Saran

=====

Akanksha Sahni @ Akanksha Sahani @ Akankasha Sahani W/o- Sri Ranjit Kumar Chaudhary, D/o- Sri Nirbhay Sahni Sasural Address- Shibani Rai Ka Tola @ Tola Shibani Rai, P.S.- Bairiya, District- Baliya, U.P.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 15-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.
2. Petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 338, 336(3), 340(2), 316(2), 316(5) and 318(4) of B.N.S., 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and the informant alleges that an amount of Rs.43,79,850/- has been misappropriated without doing any government work by the Mukhiya along with other accused persons.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that Letter No.103, dated



23.06.2025 was issued under the signature of the D.D.C., Saran, Chapra, whereby enquiry report was submitted and from perusal of the enquiry report, it would manifest that work has been completed. It is also submitted that the enquiry report does not suggest that the entire amount has been siphoned off. It is next submitted that D.D.C. has not found the charges proved with respect to seven schemes but as far as three schemes are concerned, it is alleged that the work has not been done properly. It is also submitted that petitioner is a technical assistant and will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove her innocence, if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted. It is further submitted that Nikhat Praveen had approached this court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.66936 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 19.01.2026.

5. Learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let petitioner, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees



Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Garkha P.S. Case No.544 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after recording reasons.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

