

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3888 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9819 of 2022

=====

Sunil Kumar son of Lambodar Sah, resident at Near State Boring Sanhoula,
P.O. and P.S.- Sanhoula District- Bhagalpur, Bihar- 813225.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar Patna namely Amrit Lal Meena.
2. Sri Alok Raj, The Director General of Police, Bihar Patna.
3. Sri Dipak Kumar Singh, The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reform Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Ravi Parmar, The Principal Secretary, Department of Youth, Art and Culture, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Akhilesh Kumar Jain, The President-Chairman, Hindu Religious Trust Board, Bihar Patna.
6. Dr. Nawal Kishor Choudhary, The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.
7. Sri Rajesh Kumar, The Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur.
8. Sri Anish Kumar, The Deputy Collector Land Reform, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.
9. Sri Ashok Kumar Mandal, The Sub-Divisional Officer, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.
10. Sri Udai Shankar, The Circle Officer, Sanhoula.
11. The S.H.O., Sanhoula, Police Station, District- Bhagalpur, namely, Sri Shiv Shankar Singh.
12. Chandra Kishore Sah, son of Braj Kishore Sah, resident of mohalla- Kalibagh, P.S.-Kotwali, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Gupta, Advocate
	:	Mr. Bishwa Nath Mehta, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. P.K. Verma, AAG-3
For the Religious Trust Board	:	Mr. Ganpati Trivedi, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Saurabh Suman, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)



5 20-01-2026

The present contempt application has been preferred against the order dated 22.07.2022 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 9819 of 2022, wherein a direction was given to the respondents to dispose of the representation filed by the writ petitioner in accordance with law.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order of the writ Court has already been complied with.

3. Considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner, no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt application.

4. Accordingly, the contempt application stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

Ajay/Rajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

