IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75821 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011094782026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-226 Year-2026 Thana- PATEPUR District- Vaishali

==========

Pooja Mahato D/o Mahaveer Mahato W/o Ajay Kumar, Present Resident of - Bhanubhakta Sarani Gurung Basti, Siliguri, Police Station - Pradhan Nagar Siliguri, District - Darjeeling, State - West Bengal. Permanent Resident of - Samaspura, Ward No. 01, P.O - Tisri Pakwade, Police Station - Tisiauta, District - Vaishali.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukund Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Indu Kumari Srivastava, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 30-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 32(2) and 41(1) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and allegation is of recovery of 1800 liters of liquor from a truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and she came to be implicated based on the fact that she is owner of the seized

WEB COPY

trick. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use her own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against herslef and hence would get implicated, it is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Pawan would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged who was also apprehended from the spot. It is further submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that they saw a woman fleeing from the place of occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Patepur P.S. Case No. 226 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and

WEB COPY

in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed her antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY