IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76319 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011092882026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2026 Thana- YADOPUR District- Gopalganj

==========

Rakesh Kumar @ Rakesh Kumar Manjhi S/o Binda Manjhi R/o Village- Yadopur, PS- Yadopur, District- Gopalganj

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar through Vigilance Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, APP
For the Vigilance : Mr. Anil singh, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Special Case No. 44 of 2026 arising out of Yadopur P.S. Case No. 185 of 2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 140(2), 308(5), 61, 221, 217, 351(2), 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 7(a), 7A, 13(1)(a), 13(1)(b), 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that an investigation conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police into Yadopur Police Station revealed severe corruption, illegal detention, extortion, and official misconduct. It is alleged that

WEB COPY

police personnel—including the SHO, station driver, chowkidar, and a broker—held multiple civilians, including a woman and her 16-year-old daughter, hostage in the police station premises for approximately 36 hours without registering any FIR. It is further alleged that the accused threatened the hostages and extorted illegal bribes (including sums of Rs. 50,000, Rs. 75,000, and Rs. 55,000) through brokers in exchange for releasing hostages or removing names from case records. It is also alleged that the station staff also engaged in witness intimidation and destruction of evidence, causing a serious obstruction of the judicial process.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has clean antecedents and is in custody since 19.08.2026. The counsel also submits that the charge-sheet has been submitted. The learned counsel lastly submits that the petitioner is not a government servant rather he is employed by Atul Kumar Sharma as the driver of his Bolero vehicle.

5. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard the parties and taking into

WEB COPY

consideration the fact that the petitioner has clean antecedents and charge-sheet has been submitted, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the likewise amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Vigilance under P.C Act, 1988 (Except D.A Cases) North Bihar, Muzaffarpur in connection with Yadopur P.S. Case No. 185 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be the petitioner's own or close family member.

(II) The petitioner shall co-operate in the process of trial.

(III) The petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence/intimidate any witness during the course of trial.

(IV) The Petitioner shall not commit offence of a similar nature in future.

In case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Alok Kumar, J)

Bipin/-

U		T	

WEB COPY