# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
## CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74659 of 2026

**CNR No. BRHC011092272026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-41 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Khagaria

==========

1. Reena Devi Wife of Pramod Jha Resident of Village - Gamel, Ps- Bihariganj, Dist- Madhepura
2. Sanju Devi wife of Binod Kumar Jha Resident of Village - Gamel, Ps- Bihariganj, Dist- Madhepura
3. Binod Kumar Jha Son of Late Padmanand Jha Resident of Village - Gamel, Ps- Bihariganj, Dist- Madhepura
4. Ran Vijay Jha @ Ran Vijay Kumar Son of Late Jitendra Jha Resident of Village - Gamel, Ps- Bihariganj, Dist- Madhepura At Present Resident of Ply Mill, Gorpar, Ps- Kishunganj, Dist- Madhepura

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant being cousin mother-in-law, mother-in-law and father-in-law. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the allegations with respect to the

WEB COPY

petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that whenever any dispute arises in between the husband and the wife, a case of the nature as alleged is instituted in which the entire family members are implicated with general and omnibus allegations. It is also submitted that the husband has also been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by the learned District Court.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 41 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

6. **Accordingly, the application stands allowed.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

rajesh/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY