IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76654 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011090882026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-313 Year-2024 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

==========

Arun Yadav @ Arun Kumar Son of Narayan Yadav Resident of Village-Eyari,P.S.- Mofassil, District- Aurangabad.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Indu Kumari Srivastava, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 30-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 281, 125(a), 125(b), 132 and 324(4) of the BNS, 2023, Section 181 of the Motor Vehicles Act read with Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 300 liters of liquor from a Tata Tiago vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing

WEB COPY

was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Satish in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value. It is next submitted that after amendment in the excise act in the year 2018, the concept of deemed possession and presumed offender has been done away with.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 313 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more

WEB COPY

than case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case only, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY