IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74850 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011088282026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1350 Year-2026 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

==========

Sonu Singh @ Abhinav Kumar S/O Sri Pradeep Kumar Singh @ Pradip Kumar Singh Resident of Village- Bairia, Baikunthpuri, MIT, P.S.- Ahiyapur, District- Muzaffarpur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akash Deep, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No.1350 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 329(4), 351(2), 351(3), 352, 338, 336(3), 318(4), 324(4), 324(5), 308(2), 308(3), 308(4), 308(5), 111, 112, 61(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Based on the written report, the prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant was peacefully residing in his house, which was constructed over the land purchased by him and registered in the name of his wife. On 20.08.2026,

WEB COPY

approximately 50 persons, arriving in different vehicles and accompanied by a JCB machine, allegedly reached the place of occurrence and attempted to demolish the informant's house as well as the boundary wall of the adjoining plots. The informant somehow managed to contact the police, whereupon he was allegedly rescued from the situation. It is further alleged that one of the persons involved in the incident handed over a mobile number, bearing No. 9973822003, purportedly belonging to the petitioner, and threatened the informant to enter into a settlement.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner, referring to the FIR, vehemently contended that the genesis of the entire incident is nothing but a land dispute which has been given a different colour. With respect to the same incident, multiple FIRs have been instituted, as has been disclosed in para-9 of the bail application. It is submitted that even if the allegation is taken to be true for the sake of argument, the petitioner was not even present at the place of occurrence. However, during the course of investigation, it is submitted that the persons who were assembled there and engaged in the incident were in constant touch with the petitioner, which is patently denied by the petitioner. Prior to such incident, the petitioner was carrying

WEB COPY

one criminal antecedent, i.e., Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 419 of 2017, in which the petitioner is presently on bail. It is lastly contended that, be that as it may, no person sustained any injury in the alleged incident. Further, even a bare perusal of the FIR would indicate that neither the house nor the boundary wall was actually demolished, and the allegation is confined only to an attempt in that regard. The petitioner undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceedings of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the entire incident has taken place on the dictate of the petitioner, who is alleged to be Sonu Boss, as has been disclosed in the FIR, and the reason for lodging of the multiple FIRs is said to be that the accused persons tried to demolish the boundary wall of several persons.

6. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the nature of allegation and the materials available on record, especially the fact that no damage has been caused to the house of the informant, and the undertaking furnished by the petitioner that he would not indulge in such incident, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or

WEB COPY

surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarpur or the court concerned in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No.1350 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with further following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(ii) In the event the petitioner is found indulging in any act of intimidation or threatening the informant or any of the prosecution witnesses, the prosecution or the informant shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of the petitioner's bail by filing an appropriate application.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	

WEB COPY