

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75110 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2025 Thana- KARJA District- Muzaffarpur

Sanjeela Khatoon @ Sanjida Khatoon W/o Md. Reyaz@Md. Reyaz Ahmad
R/o Village - Mansurpur Chamarua, P.S - Karja, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 13-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. A prayer for bail has been made on behalf of the
petitioner in connection with Karja P.S. Case no.234 of 2025
registered under sections 61(2) and 80 of BNS, 2023.

3. Allegation in the F.I.R is that the petitioner along
with others killed the informant's daughter on account of non-
fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased and the F.I.R
discloses clearly that love marriage had taken place between the
deceased and her husband i.e. the son of the petitioner. It has
further been submitted that there is no question of demand of



dowry in a case where love marriage had been performed. It is only on account of matrimonial discord that the deceased committed suicide owing to depression and mental anxiety and the post-mortem report of the deceased is also supportive of the said fact that the deceased had died due to asphyxia as a result of antemortem hanging. The petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. She undertakes to cooperate in the case/trial. Charges have already been framed on 12.02.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the informant on the ground that informant's daughter was killed by the petitioner along with others on nonfulfillment of demand of dowry within a year of marriage.

6. Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also considering that petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased and the marriage between the deceased and her husband was a love marriage coupled with the fact that charges have been framed on 12.02.2026 and till date no witness has been examined and there is no likelihood to conclude the trial in the near future, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Karja P.S. Case no.234 of



2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned where the case is pending/successor Court, subject to the further condition that petitioner shall remain physically present in the learned trial Court on each date and shall cooperate in the trial. In case the petitioner is absent on the two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, the learned trial Court would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

7. The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial without giving any unnecessary adjournment.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

