

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75316 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-526 Year-2023 Thana- UDWANTNAGAR District- Bhojpur

Dinesh Singh S/O Bindesh Singh @ Vijesh Singh R/O Village- Manaini, P.S.-
Charpokhari, Distt.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 04-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Udwantnagar (Gajrajganj) P.S. Case No. 526 of 2023, Sessions
Trial No. 263 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections
395 and 412 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier vide order dated 28.03.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 17502 of 2025, regular bail of the petitioner was
rejected by this Court considering the nature and gravity of
offence.

4. A report dated 19.11.2025 with regard to the present
stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid
report, it appears that there are seven charge-sheeted witnesses



and two have already been examined.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 12.01.2025 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail. Learned APP for the State relied upon a decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court reported in **2024 SCC OnLine SC 3539 (X. vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.)**, wherein in paragraph no. 14, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held as under:

“14. Ordinarily in serious offences like rape, murder, dacoity, etc., once the trial commences and the prosecution starts examining its witnesses, the Court, be it the Trial Court or the High Court should be loath in entertaining the bail application of the accused.”

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from today. If the



trial is not concluded within the period of three months, as
stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer
before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

