IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78188 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011087932026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2026 Thana- KAMTAUL District- Darbhanga

==========

Ram Kumar Sharma S/O Late Kishun Sharma R/O Vill.- Tataila, P.s.- Kamtaul, Dist.- Darbhanga.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Prakash Sharma, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 19.07.2026 at about 9:00 AM, when he went to his filed, he saw the accused petitioner cutting the ridge of his land, on objection, the accused persons started abusing, further on hearing alarm, the brothers of the petitioner came at the place of occurrence and started assaulting the informant.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant by spade causing injury on head, but then from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it also manifest that even other accused assaulted him. It is further submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the informant has been opined to be simple in nature. It is next submitted that petitioner is not a criminal and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection

WEB COPY

with Kamtaul P.S. Case No. 186 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. **Accordingly, the application stands allowed.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

rajesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY