IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74600 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2025 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Vaishali

==========

Sailendra Kumar @ Shailendra Kumar S/O Kameshwar Singh, corresponding address Resident of Village/Mohalla- Purani Bijali Colony, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad, Bihar. Permanent Address- Village- Haibatpur, P.S- Rampur Chauran, Distt.- Arwal, Bihar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shahrukh Shiddiqui, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Cyber P.S. Vaishali Case no.4 of 2025 registered under sections 303(2) and 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that as a result of cyber fraud, two debits were made from the account of the informant totalling Rs.8,21,481/-. Hence the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. It was as a result of prior connection between the petitioner and the informant that the informant out of his own free will transferred a sum of Rs.1,10,000/ in the account of the petitioner. It was a

WEB COPY

voluntary transfer. The petitioner is in custody since 14.5.2025 and chargesheet has been submitted. There is an inordinate delay in lodging of the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner has taken the Court through various paragraphs of the case diary including paragraph no.61.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation of cyber fraud in the two accounts of the informant wherein a total sum of Rs.8,21,481/ was debited from the informant's account and as per the statement of the learned counsel for the petitioner, Rs.1,10,000/ of the informant's account had been credited in the petitioner's account, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY