IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76691 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011086502026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-437 Year-2026 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

==========

1. Satrudhan Sah @ Satrughan Sah @ Satrughan Kumar Sah D/o Late Manilal Sah R/o Village - Chainpur, P.S - Kanti, District - Muzaffarpur
2. Karan Kumar S/o Satrughan Sah @ Satrughan Kumar Sah R/o Village - Chainpur, P.S - Kanti, District - Muzaffarpur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Anjana
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramchandra Sahni

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 324(4), 110 and 3(5) of the BNS in connection with Kanti P.S.Case No. 437 of 2026.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case on account of dirty village politics.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the allegation levelled against the

WEB COPY

petitioners in the FIR, it is evident that there is direct and specific allegation against them of assaulting the informant. Against Satrughan Sah, the specific allegation is that he assaulted on the head of the informant by a pistol, as a result of which the informant sustained nasal and ear-bone injury, which has not been found to be grievous in nature. However, the allegation against Karan Kumar (petitioner no.2) is of assaulting on the head of the informant by iron rod, as a result of which the informant sustained grievous injuries.

6. Considering these aspects of the matter, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to Karan Kumar (petitioner no.2). His prayer for anticipatory bail is, accordingly, **rejected.**

7. However, so far as petitioner no.1 Satrughan Sah is concerned, since no grievous injury is said to have been caused to the informant and there is one criminal antecedent, that also of the Excise Act, therefore, **I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to petitioner no.1 Satrughan Sah @ Satrudhan Sah @ Satrughan Kumar Sah.** Let him in the event of arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the

WEB COPY

satisfaction of learned ACJM-1st (West), Muzaffarpur in connection with Kanti P.S.Case No. 437 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and subject to further condition that :-

(i) the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/ trial.

(ii) the learned court would, however, verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, and in case it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, it is expected that the verification process would be done expeditiously without causing any delay.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

HR/-

U		T	

WEB COPY