IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76416 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011086382026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-439 Year-2023 Thana- UCHKAGAON District- Gopalganj

==========

Ritu Devi @ Retu Devi W/o Srijlal Kumar R/o Village - Luhasi, P.S - Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Alias Ashok Karn, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T. No. 330 of 2024 arising out of Uchkagaon P.S. Case No. 439 of 2023 instituted for the offences under Sections 363 and 365 of the Indian Penal Code. Subsequently, Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code were also added.

3. Earlier vide order dated 24.07.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 26054 of 2024, regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court considering the

WEB COPY

fact that the confessional statement of the petitioner led to recovery of the dead body of the deceased as well as the knife through which the murder was committed.

4. As per allegation, the brother of the informant now deceased went to his Bathan for sleeping on 01.11.2023 at about 9.30 PM by his motorcycle from his house but he did not return home in the morning. Accordingly, based on said statement this case was lodged for investigation regarding his missing

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the Court below, arguments of both the sides were completed on 30.03.2026 and the case was fixed for judgment but on 24.06.2026, the Trial Court further stated that FSL report is essential and directed for production of serological report. Learned counsel submits that there is no chance of trial to be concluded in near future. It is further submitted that the petitioner alongwith her new born child is in custody.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail. Learned APP further submits that the arguments have already been concluded and the case is fixed for judgment.

7. Considering the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to conclude the trial and pronounce the judgment within a period

WEB COPY

of one month from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and thereafter a report be sent to this Court for information.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

sukriti/-

U		T	

WEB COPY