IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76439 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011085672026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-237 Year-2025 Thana- MEHSI District- East Champaran

==========

1. Guddu Ram @ Guddu Kumar, S/o Dhuplal Ram, Resident of Village - Bhimalpur, Post - Mehsi, P.S. - Mehsi, District - East Champaran, Bihar, 845426.
2. Dhuplal Ram, S/o Makhan Ram, Resident of Village - Bhimalpur, Post - Mehsi, Ps - Mehsi, District - East Champaran, Bihar, 845426.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Nirmala Kumari, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mrs. Nirmala Kumari, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Mehsi P.S. Case No. 237 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 76, 303(2), 190, 191(2), 352 and 351(2) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the petitioners along with other accused persons, with an intention to kill, had allegedly assaulted the informant and her family members causing injury on the several parts of their body.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. Both the parties, were involved in a dispute with respect to a piece of land, due to which, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury to the informant side, without intention. There is case and counter case between the parties and the present case is counterblast to Mehsi P.S. case No. 236 of 2025, which was lodged by the petitioners' side against the informant and others. Other similarly situated nine accused persons have been granted pre-arrest bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 07.04.2026 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 4930 of 2026. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR, I find that General and omnibus allegation has been

WEB COPY

levelled against the petitioners. Both the parties, were involved in a dispute with respect to a piece of land, due to which, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury to the informant side, without intention. There is case and counter case between the parties and the present case is counterblast to Mehsi P.S. case No. 236 of 2025, which was lodged by the petitioners' side against the informant and others. Other similarly situated nine accused persons have been granted pre-arrest bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 07.04.2026 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 4930 of 2026. Petitioners have clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Mehsi P.S. Case No. 237 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under

WEB COPY

Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. **The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.**

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	

WEB COPY