IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73575 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011081892026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-32 Year-2026 Thana- MAIGRA District- Gaya

==========

Dhanjay Kumar @ Dhananjay Kumar S/o Balershwar Yadav R/o Village- Barhi, P.S- Chakarbandha, Distt.- Gaya.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nilendu Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Maigra P.S. Case No. 32 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 111(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 8, 15(c), 18(b) & 25 of the N.D.P.S Act.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that from a pick up van altogether, 230.800 grams of *doda* like contraband was recovered as per seizure list.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. It has been submitted that from perusal of F.I.R, it will

WEB COPY

transpire that the quantity which has been recovered from the pick-up van is mentioned in gram whereas in F.I.R at one place it has been written that the entire quantity is 230.8 kg. It has further been submitted that this has created serious doubt as to what was the quantum of the contraband which has been recovered from the pick-up. It has further been submitted that from perusal of F.I.R, it will transpire that petitioner has been shown as a passenger in the pick-up. He was neither owner nor the driver of the said vehicle and from his possession nothing was recovered as per the F.I.R, but as per seizure one mobile was recovered from his possession. It has been submitted that prosecution has not been able to connect the petitioner with the contraband, the quantity of which is still under suspicion. Moreover, the petitioner is in custody since 08.04.2026 having no criminal antecedent.

5. Countering this, learned APP for the state has vehemently opposed the application of bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties

WEB COPY

of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge (NDPS Act), Gayaji in connection with Maigra P.S. Case No. 32 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sneha/-
Shubham/-

U		T	

WEB COPY