**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77977 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011081682026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2026 Thana- LAUKAHA District- Madhubani

==========

1. Mala Kumari D/o Raj Kumar Ram R/o Village - Arnama, PS - Laukaha, District - Madhubani
2. Suryavati Devi W/o Raj Kumar Ram R/o Village - Arnama, PS - Laukaha, District - Madhubani
3. Chanchaliya Devi W/o Raj Kumar Ram R/o Village - Arnama, PS - Laukaha, District - Madhubani
4. Chandra Kala Devi W/o Shiv Kumar Ram R/o Village - Arnama, PS - Laukaha, District - Madhubani

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent : Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kant Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pushpa Sinha, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR**

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 146 of 2026, F.I.R dated 15.06.2026, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109(1), 74, 303 (2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) BNS.

3. According to prosecution case, on 14.06.2026 at 9:00 P.M., the informant, Sanju Devi, was watering the joint

WEB COPY

land, whereupon all the accused persons started abusing and assaulting her with Dabiya, lathi, Tengari and rod. When her daughter, Meen Kumari, arrived there, accused Tulsi Devi assaulted her on the head with a Tengari, causing her to fall down. It is further alleged that accused Vikash Kumar, Kariba Kumari and Kailash Kumar assaulted the informant's younger son on his head with a Tengari. All the injured persons fell to the ground covered in blood. The informant was also partially disrobed, and the accused persons allegedly snatched her Mangal Sutra from her neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the specific allegation of overt act causing injury to the informant and the informant’s son is against Vikash Kumar, Tulsi Devi and Kailash Kumar, who are not petitioners in this case. It has next been submitted that the petitioners have clean antecedents and are ready to co-operate with the investigation, and this fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel for the informant.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, particularly the fact that no specific allegation of overt act causing injury to the informant and her son has been attributed

WEB COPY

to these petitioners, coupled with their clean antecedents and willingness to co-operate with the investigation, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class, Jhanjharpur, District Madhubani, in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 146 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

*(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;*

*(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail*

WEB COPY

*bond by the Trial Court itself;*

*(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;*

*(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.*

**(Ajit Kumar, J)**

Emaad/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY