

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76957 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2024 Thana- BALIA BELON District- Katihar

Mangana Roy @ Mangan Roy S/O Late Tepla Roy Resident of Village-
Singhrol, Police Station- Baliya Belon, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 06-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Baliya
Belon P.S. Case No. 36 of 2024, instituted for the offences under
Sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and later on added Section 302 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected by this Court vide order dated
12.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 49199 of 2025 taking into
account the direct allegation of giving knife blow against the
petitioner.

4. In compliance of the order dated 11.11.2025, a
report dated 17.11.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial



has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that out of nine charge-sheeted witnesses, only three witnesses have been examined in this case. It is further reported that the trial is likely to be concluded within a period of three months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 08.10.2024 without any rhymes or reason and has got no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same. However, if the trial is not concluded within the period of three months, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

