IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75430 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011079802026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-255 Year-2013 Thana- PALIGANJ District- Patna

==========

Mukesh Yadav @ Mukesh Gope @ Mukesh Raushan Son of Late Rajeshwar Yadav Resident of village and P.O.- Belhauri, P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, District - Patna.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Paliganj P.S. Case No. 255 of 2013 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 474, 409 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

03. As per prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioner is that he procured Kisan Credit Card loans after preparing forged and fabricated documents in connivance with some Government officials.

04. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in

WEB COPY

this case. The petitioner has paid the loan taken from the bank prior to filing of the present petition and this fact has been taken note of by a learned Co-ordinate Bench while considering the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and the petitioner was granted liberty to surrender before the learned trial court within four weeks and seek bail from the said court. Learned senior counsel further submits that nothing remains in the matter after payment of loans and the Exchequer has not been put any loss even if allegation of taking loans based on forged documents is taken to be correct. Learned senior counsel also submits that the petitioner is having antecedent of five cases but all the cases arise out of Bikram P.S. Case No. 42 of 2012 in which the petitioner has been acquitted and the same informant instituted all the cases on the basis of documents seized from the house of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2026.

05. Learned APP for the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned APP submits that the petitioner had been absconding in this case for quite long time as FIR has been instituted on 02.09.2013 and the petitioner moved for the anticipatory bail for the first time in 2014.

06. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and

WEB COPY

submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the fact that the loan has been closed by the petitioner and also considering the period of custody of the petitioner, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Paliganj, Patna/court concerned in connection with Paliganj P.S. Case No. 255 of 2013, subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 480(3) of BNSS and the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U		T	

WEB COPY