IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77177 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011079552026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-217 Year-2026 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

==========

1. Anjani Kumar @ Anjani Sharma Son of Ravindra Sharma R/o Village- Mahuaad, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
2. Pintu Sharma @ Rjanikant Son of Ravindra Sharma R/o Village- Mahuaad, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the State and the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Haspura Case No. 217 of 2026 for the offence registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 308(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of the BNS lodged on 23.06.2026 by the informant, Santu Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that the work was being undertaken under the Chief Minister's Saat Nishchay Nal jal Yojana, the accused persons came and after demand of extortion, resorted to assault and while retreating also took away the materials connected with the Nal

WEB COPY

Jal Yojana. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that there is case and counter case, both sides have suffered and the perusal of FIR would show that it is exaggerated one.

5. Learned counsel for the informant on the other hand has taken this Court to the learned Sessions Judge order to show that there is fracture on the right temporal bone and the same has been found to be grievous in nature. He further submits that contrary to the statement made in paragraph 3, the petitioners have criminal antecedent which has been suppressed.

6. So far the criminal antecedent part is concerned, it is for the learned Sessions Court to look into the fact whether the version put forward by learned counsel for the informant is correct/incorrect.

7. Regarding the allegation in view of the fact that the injury is on the head and has been found to be grievous in nature, this Court is not inclined to extend them relief.

8. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY