IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78002 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011079532026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2026 Thana- CHANDRAMANDI District- Jamui

==========

Shanku Yadav Son of Sahdeo Yadav R/o Village- Telaiya, P.S.- Chanramandih, District- Jamui.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Samir Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Gulnar Begum, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chandramandih P.S. Case No. 40 of 2026 instituted for the offence under Sections 103(1), 61(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 25.02.2026, the informant's father was called by Pintu Yadav over telephone and thereafter Lal Kishore Yadav took him on a motorcycle towards Budhwadih School, where he was found grievously injured and lying on the ground. He was admitted to Sadar Hospital, Deoghar (Jharkhand), where he succumbed to

WEB COPY

the injuries sustained in the occurrence.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 27.02.2026. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is named in the FIR. There is no eye witness to the occurrence. There is no specific allegation attributed to the petitioner. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case. Other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 16.09.2026, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 45094 of 2026.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner, claim based on parity and charge sheet being submitted, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing

WEB COPY

bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Chandramandih P.S. Case No. 40 of 2026.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	

WEB COPY