

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75173 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2010 Thana- AMAS District- Gaya

Ravindra Yadav Son of Indradev Yadav R/o Village- Misrichak P.O. Dubba,
P.S.- Gurua, District- Gaya (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 13-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 427, 435 of I.P.C and Section 27 of Arms Act and 17 of CLA Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, 50-60 naxalites armed with weapons blocked the road and attacked the truck of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the First Information Report was lodged against 50-60 naxalites and the petitioner has not been named in the First Information Report rather his name transpired during the course of investigation in the confessional statement of co-accused Sambhu Sao and the said Sambhu Sao has already been granted bail by a Bench of this Court vide order dated 10.06.2013 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 17937 of 2013. Besides Sambhu Sao, other co-accused persons have also been



granted bail by different benches of this Court which has been annexed as Annexure-2 series. Further, there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and no cogent material has been collected during the course of investigation. The petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2025 and there is no substantial progress in the case but for submission of charge sheet and the case is still running at the stage of appearance.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail petition on the ground that the petitioner has criminal antecedent. However, it has been submitted that petitioner is on bail in all the other cases.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner, petitioner's implication is based upon confessional statement of co-accused, coupled with the fact that other similarly situated co-accused persons have been granted bail by co-ordinate Benches of this Court, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Amas P.S. Case No. of 06 of 2010, subject to the conditions that :

(i) One of the bailors will be his close relative/family members.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in court



on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

