IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75258 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011077442026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-128 Year-2026 Thana- CHANDRADIP District- Jamui

==========

1. Chandrashekhar Singh @ Chandrasher Singh S/o Ramesh Singh Resident of village - Chandradeep Dhanar, Police Station - Chandradeep, District - Jamui, Bihar
2. Satish Singh S/o Ramesh Singh Resident of village - Chandradeep Dhanar, Police Station - Chandradeep, District - Jamui, Bihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard Mr. Arun, learned Advocate for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chandradeep P.S. Case No. 128 of 2026, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2), 352 of the BNS.

WEB COPY

3. The allegation against the petitioners is of causing assault to the informant by means of hockey stick, iron rod and sword with the help of other accused persons, due to which the informant sustained serious injuries. There is further allegation of snatching of golden locket against the accused persons.

4. Learned advocate for the petitioners, referring to the FIR, primarily contended that besides the omnibus allegation against all the accused persons, the genesis of the occurrence is nothing but a previous dispute which resulted into lodging of FIR as well as counter FIR from both the sides. The criminal antecedent of the petitioners, as has been disclosed in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application, is said to be the outcome of such enmity. The allegation referred in the FIR, even if taken to be true for the sake of argument, besides no specific allegation has been attributed against the petitioners, the injury which is opined to be grievous in nature is on account of displaced fracture at index finger, which is on non-vital part. In the aforesaid premise, the learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are able to make out a good case for anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, learned advocate for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the

WEB COPY

informant has sustained nine injuries over his body and these petitioners have also actively participated in the crime.

6. Considering the rival submissions advanced by learned advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the long-standing enmity between the parties resulting into institution of the case and counter cases against each other, besides the nature of injuries on the non-vital part as referred hereinabove, and the undertaking of the petitioners that they shall fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court, let the petitioners be released on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender, before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Jamui in connection with Chandradeep P.S. Case No. 128 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition:

(i) that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners,

(ii) that if the petitioners shall be found indulged in

WEB COPY

such activities in future and intimidate or threaten the informant and witnesses, in such circumstances, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of their bail.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	

WEB COPY