IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75802 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011075752026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-194 Year-2026 Thana- DURGAWATI District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

==========

Ajay Kumar Singh Son of Raj Nath Singh Resident of Village - Dhanechha, District - Durgawati, District - Kaimur at Bhabua

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Durgawati P.S. Case No. 194 of 2026 for the offences under section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act lodged on 25.05.2026 by the informant, Yogendra Kumar .

3. As per the prosecution story, the Police intercepted two motorcycles and there is recovery/seizure of 27 liters country made liquor and the arrest of those occupying the motorcycles. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he only owns the motorcycle and that is the reason for the implication. Chandan Kumar Singh, his son, was driving the motorcycle and he has been arrested. The last submission is that

WEB COPY

the petitioner do not have criminal antecedent.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that he owns the motorcycle.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)**, as also that persons from whom recovery/seizure took place already stands arrested, the petitioner do not have criminal antecedent, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. If, however, it is found that contrary to the statement made in paragraph-3, the petitioner has criminal antecedent, the present order shall become infructuous.

9. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of

WEB COPY

arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned special Judge, Excise Court No. II, Kaimur at Bhabua in connection with Durgawati P.S. Case No. 194 of 2026 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for six months to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or

WEB COPY

promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

sanny/-

U		T	

WEB COPY