

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76708 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-416 Year-2025 Thana- NOORSARAI District- Nalanda

Suryabhan Singh @ Manish Kumar @ Manish @ Suryajan Singh @
Surajbhan Singh S/O Karu Singh@ Rakesh Kumar R/O Village- Parasi, P.S-
Noorsarai, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. S/O Late Radhey Raut R/O Village- Parasi, P.S- Noorsarai, District- Nalanda

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Kumari Sujata Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 10-03-2026 Heard Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and Ms. Kumari Sujata Sinha, learned counsel for the Informant.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 27.08.2025 in connection with Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 416 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 24.07.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 75(2), 75(3), 78(2), 79, 3(5) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 8/12 of POCSO Act.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that on 24.07.2025, when the daughter of the informant was returning from her coaching, the petitioner came and threatened her for



marriage on point of pistol.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R and he has been made accused merely on the ground that he was in love with the victim girl and it appears from the FIR that petitioner did not commit anything against the daughter of the informant. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 27.08.2025 .

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the he is on bail in the said matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned VIIth



District Judge-cum-Special Judge, POCSO, Nalanda,
Biharshariff in connection with Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 416 of
2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

