IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74336 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011074432026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-633 Year-2026 Thana- MAJHAULIA District- West Champaran

==========

1. Indrasan Ram Son of Late Laxman Ram Resident of Village- Purshottampur, P.S.- Majhauliya, District- West Champaran.
2. Tetari Devi Wife of Indrasan Ram Resident of Village- Purshottampur, P.S.- Majhauliya, District- West Champaran.
3. Raj Kumar Ram @ Raj Kumar Son of Indrasan Ram Resident of Village- Purshottampur, P.S.- Majhauliya, District- West Champaran.
4. Sangita Kumari Daughter of Indrasan Ram Resident of Village- Purshottampur, P.S.- Majhauliya, District- West Champaran.
5. Puja Kumari Daughater of Indrasan Ram Resident of Village- Purshottampur, P.S.- Majhauliya, District- West Champaran.
6. Mithilesh Ram @ Mithlesh Ram Son of Ramesh Ram Resident of Village- Purshottampur, P.S.- Majhauliya, District- West Champaran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Madhu Kumari Wife of Sikandar Ram Resident of Village- Purshottampur, P.S.- Majhauliya, District- West Champaran.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 124(1), 351(2), 352, 85 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition

WEB COPY

Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners after some argument seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to petitioner No. 3 Raj Kumar Ram @ Raj Kumar and petitioner No. 6 Mithlesh Ram @ Mithlesh Ram with liberty to seek and surrender regular bail.

4. Permission is accorded.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5 are persons with clean antecedent and are father-in-law, mother-in-law and married sisters-in-law (*nanad*) of the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that she was married to Sikander Ram in the year 2022 and after marriage, the accused persons were torturing her for dowry. It is also alleged that on 19.07.2026 she was confined in a room and her mobile was snatched and foods stopped and she was assaulted, further Raj Kumar and Mithlesh even used to pour acid on her body.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that even Raj Kumar and Mithlesh have been falsely implicated in the instant case, it is

WEB COPY

further submitted that from perusal of the injury report, it would manifest that the same does not record burn injuries on account of pouring of acid, on which the learned APP for the State submits that no doubt the injury report brought on record by the petitioners do not record burn injuries on account of pouring of acid, but then from perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that the same contains some photographs of the informant and from perusal of the same, it appears that she has suffered burn injuries on different part of the body and the same is not rebutted by way of pleading in the anticipatory bail application, on which learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that this precisely is the reason why anticipatory bail application on behalf of the petitioner Nos. 3 and 6 was withdrawn.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

8. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with

WEB COPY

Majhauliya P.S. Case No. 633 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY