**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78559 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011074182026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2026 Thana- SAUR BAZAR District- Saharsa

==========

Bishnudev @ VIshnudev @ Bishnu Dev @ Bishnudev Yadav, Son of Gorelal Yadav @ Late Gorelal Yadav@ Gonelal Yadav, Resident of Village - Khora, P.S.- Saur Bazar, District- Saharsa.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Saur Bazar P.S. Case No. 173 of 2026, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) & 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per prosecution case, while the mother of the informant had been cutting grass in her field, the petitioner gave her filthy abuses. The mother of the informant raised alarm and when the informant reached there, the petitioner gave him *farsa* blow and the informant became unconscious and the co-accused Ranvir Yadav snatched gold and silver ornaments from him.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The only allegation against the petitioner is that he gave a *farsa* blow on the head of the informant, but the injury report shows only single lacerated wound of size 5cm x ½ cm x ½ cm over the left temporoparietal region, which was opined to be simple in nature. This falsified the allegation of giving farsa blow, which is heavy and sharp-cut weapon. There is land dispute between the parties and some altercation took place between the two groups and even a *panchayati* was called for resolution. The petitioner is in custody since 25.07.2026 and is having antecedent of one case. Charge sheet has been submitted.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the fact and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the simple and superficial nature of injury of the informant and further considering the period of custody of the petitioner and submission of charge sheet, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like

WEB COPY

amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa/concerned court, in connection with Saur Bazar P.S. Case No. 173 of 2026, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the BNSS and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

**(Arun Kumar Jha, J)**

Pallavi/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY