IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74353 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011072442026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-299 Year-2026 Thana- KOTWA District- East Champaran

==========

Bablu Rajak Son of Jamdar Rajak R/O Vill.- Dumra, P.S.- Kotwa, District- East Champaran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Kumari Akansha Rai
Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238, 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the Chawkidar instituted the instant FIR with an allegation that on 16.07.2026 he received an information that daughter of Umashankar, namely, Pinku Kumari died, further he received secret information that Pinku Kumari was in love with a boy from a different caste which had offended Umashankar and his

WEB COPY

family members including the petitioner, hence Pinku Kumari was killed and despite making endeavours the dead body could not be located.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that informant is not an eye-witness to the occurrence and based on secret information it is being alleged that Umashankar along with his family members including the petitioner and unknown accused killed his own daughter, it is further submitted that petitioner is cousin maternal uncle of the deceased as such it does not appear probable that petitioner would have been involved in the occurrence.

5. On query of the Court from the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that as to whether the dead body of the victim was sent for post-mortem or not, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner fairly submits that the dead body was cremated and the ashes was sent to FSL.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that Chawkidar has instituted the instant case, it is further submitted that Chawkidar is made responsible for looking after affairs of the village and since he received an information about the

WEB COPY

occurrence, as such he had no option but to institute the instant FIR, it is next submitted that from perusal of the pleadings made in the anticipatory bail application, it would manifest that the same does not even remotely suggest that informant was on an inimical terms with Umashankar and his family members, it is also submitted that it appears to be a case of honour killing as Umashankar and his family members were not able to take the brunt of the relationship of Pinku Kumari with a boy of another caste, it is further submitted that had Umashankar along with petitioner and others been not involved in the occurrence in that event the dead body would have been sent for post-mortem for ascertaining the cause of death, but the dead body was cremated as has come in order impugned also which further points towards the fact that the victim was killed, it is next submitted that investigation in the case is continuing.

7. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submission made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Hence the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

vikram/-

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

U		T	

WEB COPY