

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75308 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-323 Year-2011 Thana- SHASTRINAGAR District- Patna

Girdhari Singh @ Rajiv Ranjan Son of Late Ghanshyam Singh R/o Village-
Sankarwar Tola, Mokama, and P.S.- Mokama, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 16-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 302, 34 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Petitioner along with other accused persons are said
to have fired on the deceased.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
first information report had been lodged against unknown and
the name of the petitioner transpired during the course of
investigation. However, the petitioner had no knowledge
whatsoever of his name having surfaced in the course of the
present case, as such, he could not approach this Court earlier
and it is also a fact that no coercive steps were even taken
against him for 14 long years and it was only on 11th July, 2024



that the police had taken steps for issuance of warrant of arrest and subsequently the petitioner was arrested on 14.06.2025. Learned counsel has further submitted that the other similarly situated co-accused persons have already been granted bail vide Annexures-P/3 to P/6. The petitioner is in custody since 14.06.2025 and undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail on the ground that the petitioner has several antecedents. In response, it has been submitted that the petitioner has been acquitted in number of cases and as of now there are nine antecedents against him but he is on bail in all the cases.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that all other similarly situated co-accused persons had already been granted bail and it is only on account of ignorance of the petitioner of his complicity in the present case he could not surrender earlier, let the above named petitioner, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Shastri Nagar P.S. Case No. 323 of 2011.



7. However, the learned court concerned is directed that considering the matter that this is a very old case, on the very first opportunity the trial court should make all endeavours to get the charges framed in the case and the petitioner is directed to appear on each and every date fixed in the case and if the petitioner does not appear on the date fixed for framing of charge and other dates, the learned court below would be at liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

