**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78195 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011071972026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-420 Year-2026 Thana- MOKAMAH District- Patna

==========

1. Ankit Ray @ Ankit Kumar S/O Parmanand Rai R/O Village- Kanhaipur, Ward No.01, P.S - Mokama, District - Patna.
2. Nitish Ray @ Nitish Kumar Ray @ Nitish S/O Parmanand Rai R/O Village- Kanhaipur, Ward No.01, P.S - Mokama, District - Patna.
3. Tunna Ray @ Saroj Kumar @ Saroj S/O Parmanand Rai R/O Village- Kanhaipur, Ward No.01, P.S - Mokama, District - Patna.
4. Parmanand Rai S/O Late Laxmi Ray R/O Village- Kanhaipur, Ward No.01, P.S - Mokama, District - Patna.
5. Dammar Ray @ Sajjan Kumar S/O Shankar Ray R/O Village- Kanhaipur, Ward No.01, P.S - Mokama, District - Patna.
6. Kangress Ray @ Kamlesh Kumar S/O Shankar Ray R/O Village- Kanhaipur, Ward No.01, P.S - Mokama, District - Patna.
7. Shankar Ray S/O Late Safar Ray R/O Village- Kanhaipur, Ward No.01, P.S - Mokama, District - Patna.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Murari Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 125(a), 329(4), 190 and 303(2) of the B.N.S.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner nos.1, 2, 3, and 4 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner nos.5, 6, and 7 have antecedent of one case and petitioner no.7 is aged about 77 years. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the occurrence is alleged to have taken place at the house of the informant and allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature and even the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature as would manifest from Annexure-3 to the anticipatory bail application.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is

WEB COPY

pending/successor Court in connection with Mokama P. S. Case No.420 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. The application stands allowed.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

vikash/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY