IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79323 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011071412026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-358 Year-2025 Thana- EKMA District- Saran

==========

Bhim Yadav @ Bhim Kumar S/O Parshuram Yadav @ Pshuram Ray @ Pashuram Yadav R/O Rampur Bindalal, P.S.- Ekma, Distt.- Saran, Bihar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aayushranjan, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Ekma P.S. Case No.358 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is of causing assault by means of iron rod over the head of the informant, due to which he sustained serious injuries. There are further allegations of assault against the other co-accused persons as well.

4. Learned Advocate for the State, while referring to the FIR, primarily contended that on a bare perusal of the same,

WEB COPY

it is evident that the scuffle took place on account of a land dispute. Moreover, the injury which is specifically attributed to the petitioner is concerned, the same upon medical examination has been found to be simple in nature, as is evident from the impugned order. It is, however, fairly submitted that the nature of one of the injuries allegedly sustained by the informant on his chest has been kept reserved, and the said injury is not attributed to the petitioner. With regard to the alleged occurrence dated 27.10.2025, learned Advocate for the petitioner contended that the FIR was instituted on 30.10.2025, resulting in a delay of three days, which has not been satisfactorily explained by the prosecution. Moreover, the petitioner is carrying fair antecedent and undertakes that he will fully cooperate in proceedings of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the rival submissions advanced by learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the delay in lodging of the FIR, besides the simple nature of injury attributed to the petitioner, as also the fair antecedent, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a

WEB COPY

period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned JMFC 25th, Saran at Chapra in connection with Ekma P.S. Case No.358 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	

WEB COPY