

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16694 of 2025

VISHNUARADHAYA - RAJIV (JV), Office address -NH-110 Jehanabad, Arwal Road, Near Jehanabad College, Jehanabad. 804408, Bihar through its Partner In-Charge Krishna Kumar, Male, aged about 58 years, Son of Vindeshwar Sharma, Resident of Village Sesmba, P.S. Sakurabad, District Jehanabad, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Minor Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer In-Chief, Minor Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Bhagalpur, District Bhagalpur, Bihar.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Minor Irrigation Circle, Bhagalpur, District Bhagalpur, Bihar.
6. The Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division Banka, District Banka, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Advocate General

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

3 10-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Following reliefs are prayed for in the present writ
petition:

"(i) Quashing of the decision of the Departmental



Tender Committee dated 20.09.2025 as communicated vide Letter No. 1220 (HQ) dated 20.09.2025(Annexure P/5), by which after opening of the financial bid and without assigning any reason, the tender process has been cancelled by invoking the provisions of Clause 159 40 of the NIT read with Rule 159 (vi) of the Bihar Pubic Works Department Code; and

(ii) Restraining the Respondents from giving effect to decision of the Departmental Tender Committee dated 20.09.2025 as communicated vide Letter No. 1220 (HQ) dated 20.09.2025 during the pendency of the present writ application and/or the without the leave of this Hon'ble Court."

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition.

4. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/Sujit-

U			
---	--	--	--

