

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17301 of 2025

=====

Mohit Kumar son of Mohan Prasad Resident of Dumri Damodarpur,
Shahjahan, P.O. and P.S.- Dumri, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue, Bihar at Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj, Bihar at Patna
4. The Collector, Muzaffarpur
5. The Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur
6. The District Panchayat Raj Officer. Muzaffarpur
7. The Anchal Adhikari, Mushahari, Muzaffarpur
8. The Anchal Amen, Mushahari, Muzaffarpur
9. The Officer Incharge, P.S.- Sadar, Muzaffarpur
10. Indu Devi wife of Sanjay Paswan, the Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj
Majhauri Khetal, Block- Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amarendra Nath Verma, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. Archana Meenakshee, GP-6
		Mr. Rana Veer Prawar, AC to GP-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 31-03-2026 Heard Mr. Amarendra Nath Verma, learned counsel

for the petitioner and the State.

2. The present application has been preferred:

*for issuance of a writ in the nature
of prohibition stopping the construction of
PANCHAYAT BHAWAN of the village on the
petitioner's ancestral Khatiani land
appertaining to Mauja-Dumri, Thana
No.332, Khata No.571, Khesra No.1338
Area 24 Decimal owned & possessed by the*



petitioner's family, duly entered in Khatian & Register II in the name of the petitioner's great grand father Kailash Prasad for which an age old Jamabandi No.586 has been running in the petitioner's great grand father Late Kailash Prasad and for which he has been paying rent to the state of Bihar against issuance of proper rent-receipts by the revenue-authorities till date and further for a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to shift the project of Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan to some other suitable government land and also to take stern action against the erring respondents and for all order/s or directions the petitioner is entitled to.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the Collector, Muzaffarpur (respondent no. 4) for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State Counsel has no objection to it.

5. In the background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to agitate/convince the respondent no. 4, the Collector, Muzaffarpur who shall be hearing all the parties/ perusing the records and shall file reasoned order at an earliest preferably by 31.12.2026.



6. Any development that will take place in between shall be subject to the final outcome of the order passed by the Collector, Muzaffarpur.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

