IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16403 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011069642026

==========

Vinod Kumar Ray Son of Late Ram Prit Ray, Resident Village of MaujeGoa, Post GoaPiparpanti, Ward No. 02, P.S- Baniyapur, District- Saran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Lok Prahari -Cum-Divisional Commissioner, Saran (Chapra).
4. The District Magistrate, Saran (Chapra)
5. The Block Development officer, Block- Baniyapur, District- Saran (Chapra).
6. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj GoaPiparpanti, Block Baniyapur, District-Saran (Chapra).
7. The Sri Anil Kumar Sharma, Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj GoaPiparpanti, Block- Baniyapur, District Saran.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhirdyuti Kumar Verma, GP(14)

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The present writ petition has been filed for the following relief(s):-

> "I. For issuance of appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing to the Lok Prahari Commissioner, -Cum-Divisional Saran (Respondent No. 03) to consider and dispose of the complaint petition of the petitioner dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure P/01) filed under section 18(5) of the Bihar Panchayat Raj Act, 2006, by passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within a time-bound period.
>
> II. For that the petitioner further seeks a direction upon the respondent no. 3 to conduct the

WEB COPY

> requisite inquiry in accordance with Section 18(5) of the Bihar Panchayat Raj Act, 2006 within a time-bound period.
>
> III. For grant any other relief/reliefs as your lordship may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case.”

3. Without going into the merits or demerits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission with the consent of both the counsels.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioner has given a representation/ complaint petition dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure-P/1) to the respondent No. 3 (Lok Prahari Commissioner, -Cum-Divisional Saran) who is the competent authority and it would suffice if a direction is given to the said authority to consider the said representation and pass necessary orders by fixing a time-frame.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State submits that he has no objection if a direction is given to the respondent No. 3 to dispose of the representation/ complaint petition strictly in accordance with law.

6. Having regard to the above made submissions, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent No. 3 to consider the representation/ complaint petition dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure-P/1) and pass a reasoned order.

WEB COPY

7. It is needless to mention that before passing any orders, the petitioners shall be given an opportunity of hearing. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Any orders passed shall be communicated to the party.

8. With the above direction, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

Ayush/-

U			

WEB COPY